arXiv:1404.4441v1 [math.ST] 17 Apr 2014

ON A KOTZ-WISHART DISTRIBUTION: MULTIVARIATE VARMA TRANSFORM

AMADOU SARR
Sultan Qaboos University

Abstract: The Wishart distribution, named after its discoverer, play a fundamental role in classical multivariate statistical analysis. In this paper, we introduced a generalized Wishart distribution for which the underlying observed vectors follow a Kotz-type distribution. Several properties of the Kotz-Wishart (KW) random matrix and its inverted version are investigated. Explicit forms for the probability density functions (pdf), the cumulative distribution function (cdf), and the moment generating function (mgf) are derived. It has also been proved that some estimating results obtained under Efron-Morris loss function [9] are robust under the Kotz model. Further, as a generalization of Khatri's result [23], the distribution function of the smallest eigenvalue of the KW random matrix is obtained. On the other hand, inspired by the particular form of the pdf of KW random matrix, we introduced a multivariate version of a generalized Laplace transform, which is known in the literature as Varma transform [37] (1951). Examples of M-Varma transform are evaluated for some functions of matrix argument.

AMS 2000 subject classifications: Primary 62H10, 62H12; secondary 62E99, 44A15.
Keywords and phrases: Elliptically contoured distributions, Stochastic representation, Kotz type distributions, Kotz-Wishart distribution, Zonal polynomials, Whittaker's functions, M-Varma transform.

1. Introduction

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be independent and identically distributed (iid) as multivariate normal with mean μ and $p \times p$ positive definite matrix Σ, denoted as $\mathcal{N}_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, $\Sigma > 0$. Let $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$, with $n > p$, and the sum of squares and products (SSP) matrix $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \overline{X})(X_i - \overline{X})'$. Then, the random matrix $\mathbf{A}$ has a Wishart distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom and scale parameter matrix Σ. This is denoted by $W_p(n-1, \Sigma)$.
This matrix variate distribution was introduced in 1928 [38] by J. Wishart, in the context of statistical data analysis. Nowadays, the usefulness of Wishart's finding goes beyond the multivariate statistical area. Indeed, Wishart matrices found many applications in diverse fields including biology, finance, physics and more recently mechanical and electrical Engineering [1], and graphical models [22] as well. Further, Wishart distributions may be viewed as the raw material of the random matrix theory (RMT). For more details on the matrix variate distributions, we refer to the books by Gupta and Nagar [14], Muirhead [30], to

mention a few.
Generally speaking, the multivariate statistical analysis, based on the normality assumption, has a long history of development. Several books treating this subject are available in the statistical literature for a while [2], [30]. However, the normality assumption is continuously questioned for lack of robustness. As a result, alternative models to the multivariate normal model have received considerable attention over the last three decades. Namely, the multivariate elliptical models for which the observed vectors $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are identically distributed, with a common distribution belonging to the broader class of elliptically contoured distributions (ECD). Indeed, such a class of distributions includes the normal distributions and the t-distributions as special cases. Detailed studies of ECD, for both vectors and matrices cases, may be found in [11], [10], [12], [15]. In our present work, we are mainly interested in the properties of the SSP matrix, which is generated from a multivariate Kotz-type model.

Definition 1.1. *The p-dimensional random vector* $\mathbf{x}$ *is said to have a symmetric Kotz type distribution with parameters* $q, \theta, s \in \mathbf{R}$, $\mu \in \mathbf{R}^p$, $\mathbf{\Sigma} \in \mathcal{R}^{p\times p}$, $\theta > 0$, $s > 0, 2q + p > 2$, *and* $\mathbf{\Sigma} > 0$ *if its pdf is*

$$(1)\quad f(\mathbf{x}) = C|\,\mathbf{\Sigma}\,|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,[(\mathbf{x}-\mu)'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)]^{q-1}\exp\{-\theta[(\mathbf{x}-\mu)'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)]^s\},$$

where

$$C = \frac{s\theta^{\frac{2q+p-2}{2s}}\Gamma(\frac{p}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{p}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p-2}{2s}\right)}$$

This distribution was introduced by Kotz (1975)[25], as a generalization of the multivariate normal distributions. Indeed, the particular case $q = 2\theta = s = 1$ in (1) coincides with the family of normal distributions. Note that this subclass of elliptical distributions is often used when the normality assumption is not acceptable (see Lindsey (1999)[29]). Most of the results related to this distribution can be found in Nadarajah (2003)[31]. As a member of the elliptical family, this distribution admits the stochastic representation which is illustrated by the relation $\mathbf{x} \overset{d}{=} \mu + r\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{u}^{(p)}$, where $\mathbf{u}^{(p)}$ is uniformly distributed on the surface of the unit sphere in $\mathcal{R}^p$, and r is independent of $\mathbf{u}^{(p)}$. Further, the moments of r^2 are given by (2) (see Fang et al. [11, p.77]).

$$(2)\qquad E\left(r^{2t}\right) = \frac{\theta^{-\frac{t}{s}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p+2t-2}{2s}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p-2}{2s}\right)}, \quad t > 0.$$

Throughout this paper, the multivariate Kotz distribution will be denoted by $MK_p(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma})$. Now, we define the multivariate Kotz type model and subsequently, the Kotz-Wishart matrix.
Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be p-dimensional random vectors, such that $n > p$ and $\mathbf{x}_i \sim MK_p(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Moreover, assume that $\mathbf{x}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ are uncorrelated,(but not necessarily independent), and their joint pdf is given by

$$(3)\qquad f(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \frac{1}{|\,\mathbf{\Sigma}\,|^{\frac{n}{2}}}h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mathbf{x}_i-\mu)'\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i-\mu)\right),$$

where $h(\cdot)$ is specified by

$$h(z) = \frac{s\theta^{\frac{2q+pn-2}{2s}}\Gamma\left(\frac{pn}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{pn}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+pn-2}{2s}\right)} z^{q-1}\exp(-\theta z^s)\ , \quad z \geq 0. \tag{4}$$

Here the parameters q, θ and s are supposed to be known, and each p-dimensional random vector $\mathbf{x}_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ has a multivariate Kotz distribution with mean vector μ and covariance matrix $\frac{\theta^{-\frac{1}{s}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p}{2s}\right)}{p\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p-2}{2s}\right)}\mathbf{\Sigma}$. So, the relation (3) represents the multivariate Kotz type model. This model has been considered by Sarr and Gupta [33], in the context of estimating the precision matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$, under a decision-theoretic viewpoint. More details regarding the description of the multivariate Kotz model are available in [33].

Definition 1.2. Kotz-Wishart matrix
The SSP matrix $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \overline{X})(X_i - \overline{X})'$*, formed from the multivariate Kotz type model, is called the Kotz-Wishart matrix, with* $n-1$ *degrees of freedom and parameter matrix* $\mathbf{\Sigma} > 0$*. This will be denoted as*

$$\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} KW_p(n-1, \Sigma), \tag{5}$$

with $n > p$*. Its pdf will be explicitly derived later.*

Remark 1.1. *It is important to observe that the uncorrelated elliptical models coincide with the usual independent model if the underlying vectors* $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n$ *are normally distributed. Moreover, the excellent technical report [4] by Anderson and Fang (1982), dealing with the uncorrelated (or dependent) elliptical models, has been a key source of motivation for many researchers. Since then, the relevance of the uncorrelated models has been illustrated in a paper by Kelejian and Prucha (1985) [21], where the authors proved that the uncorrelated t-model is better able to capture the heavy-tailed behavior than an independent t-model.*

The present paper is organized as follows: Section2 contains some preliminary results and notations used throughout the paper. In section3 , we evaluated some specific expected values, needed to estimate the precision matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ in section4. While section5 is dedicated to the derivation of the pdfs. All the results involving zonal polynomials are discussed in section6. And section7 is devoted to the definition of a generalization of the Laplace transform, namely, the M-Varma transform. Finally, some properties concerning Whittaker's functions, which are repeatedly used in our paper, are summarized in the Appendix.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

The preliminary results and definitions needed for the sequel are summarized here.

2.1. Notations and Definitions

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a $p \times p$ matrix. Then its determinant is denoted by $|\mathbf{A}|$, its transpose matrix is denoted by $\mathbf{A}'$. The trace of $\mathbf{A}$ is denoted by $tr\mathbf{A} = a_{11} + \cdots + a_{pp}$. The norm of $\mathbf{A}$ is denoted by $\|\mathbf{A}\|$. The exponential of the trace of $\mathbf{A}$ is denoted by $etr(\mathbf{A}) = \exp(tr\mathbf{A})$. The notation $\mathbf{A} > 0$ means that $\mathbf{A}$ is symmetric positive definite, and $\mathbf{A}^{1/2}$ denotes the unique symmetric positive definite square root of $\mathbf{A}$; while the notation $\mathbf{A} \geq 0$ means that $\mathbf{A}$ is symmetric positive semi-definite. Let $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ be a $p \times q$ and $r \times s$ matrices respectively. Then, the **Kronecker** product of $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{B}$. We also denote by I_n the identity matrix of order n.

Definition 2.1. The multivariate gamma function
The Multivariate gamma function, denoted by $\Gamma_p(\cdot)$, is defined as:

$$\Gamma_p(a) = \int_{A>0} etr(-A)|A|^{a-\frac{p+1}{2}}(dA), \tag{6}$$

where $Re(a) > \frac{p-1}{2}$, and the integral is over the space of positive definite $p \times p$ matrices, with respect to the Lebesgue measure $(dA) = da_{11}da_{12}\ldots da_{pp}$. Further, the following formula can be proved (see [30, p.62-63]).

$$\Gamma_p(a) = \pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{4}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \Gamma(a - \frac{i-1}{2}).$$

When $p = 1$, then (6) reduces to the classical gamma function.

Definition 2.2. Wishart and Inverted Wishart distributions
A $p \times p$ symmetric random matrix $\mathbf{A} > 0$ has a Wishart distribution $W_p(m, \Sigma)$, with m degrees of freedom, and parameter matrix $\Sigma > 0$ and $m \geq p$ if its pdf is given by:

$$f(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{|\mathbf{A}|^{\frac{m-p-1}{2}} etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\right)}{2^{\frac{mp}{2}}|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\frac{m}{2}}\Gamma_p(\frac{m}{2})}, \tag{7}$$

A $p \times p$ random matrix $\mathbf{B} > 0$ is said to have the **inverted** *Wishart distribution with d degrees of freedom and positive definite $p \times p$ parameter matrix $\mathbf{V}$ if its pdf is*

$$f(\mathbf{B}) = \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^{-\frac{d}{2}} etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\right)}{2^{\frac{p(d-p-1)}{2}}|\mathbf{V}|^{-\frac{d-p-1}{2}}\Gamma_p(\frac{d-p-1}{2})}, \quad \mathbf{B} > 0, \tag{8}$$

where $d > 2p$. This will be denoted by $IW_p(d, \mathbf{V})$.

2.2. Basic properties of elliptically contoured distributions

We proceed here to a brief summary of the basic properties of ECD. The following definitions and results are taken from [15].

Definition 2.3. *Let* $\mathbf{X}$ *be a* $p \times n$ *random matrix. Then,* $\mathbf{X}$ *is said to have a matrix variate elliptically contoured distribution if its characteristic function has the form*

$$\phi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{T}) \ = \ etr(i\mathbf{T}'\mathbf{M})\psi[tr(\mathbf{T}'\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{\Phi})] \text{ with } \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{R}^{p\times n},\ \mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{R}^{p\times n},\ \mathbf{\Sigma} \in \mathcal{R}^{p\times p},$$

$$\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathcal{R}^{n\times n},\ \mathbf{\Sigma} \ \geq 0,\ \ \mathbf{\Phi} \ \geq 0 \text{ and } \psi : \ [\ 0,\ \infty[\ \to R. \tag{9}$$

The matrices $\mathbf{M}$, $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ *and* $\mathbf{\Phi}$ *are the parameters of the distribution.*

This distribution is denoted by $\mathbf{X} \ \sim \ E_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{\Phi}, \psi)$. The function ψ is called the **characteristic generator** (cg). As a special case, when $\psi(z) = \exp(-\frac{z}{2})$, then $\mathbf{X}$ has a matrix variate normal distribution. If $n = 1$, then $\mathbf{x} \sim E_p(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \psi)$ is said to have a vector variate elliptical distribution. The relationship, in terms of the distributions, of the matrix and the vector is illustrated as follows:

(10)

$$\mathbf{X} \ \sim \ E_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{\Sigma}\otimes\mathbf{\Phi}, \psi) \, if \, and \, only \, if \, \mathbf{x} = vec(\mathbf{X}') \ \sim \ E_{pn}(vec(\mathbf{M}'), \mathbf{\Sigma}\otimes\mathbf{\Phi}, \psi).$$

Here, $vec(\mathbf{A})$ is defined by:

$$vec(\mathbf{A}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{a}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{a}_n$ denote the columns of the $p\times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$. Following Schoenberg [35](1938), Fang and Anderson (1982)[4] derived a stochastic representation of matrix variate elliptical distributions as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{X}$ *be a* $p\times n$ *random matrix. Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be* $p\times n$, $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ *be* $p\times p$ *and* $\mathbf{\Phi}$ *be* $n\times n$ *constant matrices, with* $\mathbf{\Sigma} \geq \mathbf{O}$, $\mathbf{\Phi} \geq \mathbf{O}$, $rank(\mathbf{\Sigma}) = p_1$, $rank(\mathbf{\Phi}) = n_1$. *Then*

$$\mathbf{X} \ \sim \ E_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{\Phi}, \psi)$$

if and only if

$$\mathbf{X} \ \stackrel{d}{=} \ \mathbf{M} + R\mathbf{AUB}', \tag{11}$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ *is* $p_1\times n_1$ *and* $vec(\mathbf{U}')$ *is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere* $S_{p_1n_1}$, r *is a nonnegative random variable,* R *and* $\mathbf{U}$ *are independent,* $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{AA}'$ *and* $\mathbf{\Phi} = \mathbf{BB}'$ *are rank factorizations of* $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ *and* $\mathbf{\Phi}$. *Moreover*

$$\psi(y) \ = \ \int_0^\infty \Omega_{p_1n_1}(R^2y)dF(R), \qquad y \geq 0, \tag{12}$$

where $\Omega_{p_1n_1}(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{t})$, $\mathbf{t} \ \in \ R^{p_1n_1}$ *denotes the characteristic generator of* $vec(\mathbf{U}')$, *and* $F(r)$ *denotes the distribution function of* R.

The expression $\mathbf{M} + r\mathbf{AUB}'$ is called the stochastic representation of $\mathbf{X}$. In relation (11), the notation $\stackrel{d}{=}$ stands for *equality in distribution*. The random matrix $\mathbf{X}$ does not, in general, possess a density function. But if it does, it will have the following form (see [15, p.26])

$$f(\mathbf{X}) = |\,\mathbf{\Sigma}\,|^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\,\mathbf{\Phi}\,|^{-\frac{p}{2}} h(tr((\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M})'\mathbf{\Sigma^{-1}}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M})\mathbf{\Phi^{-1}})), \tag{13}$$

where $\mathbf{\Sigma} > 0$ and $\mathbf{\Phi} > 0$. The function h is called the **density generator** of the distribution. In the particular case where $\mathbf{\Phi} = \mathbf{I}_n$ and $\mathbf{M} = \boldsymbol{\mu}\mathbf{e}_n'$, with $\mathbf{e}_n' = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$, the pdf (13) simplifies to the form (3). That is, $\mathbf{X} \sim E_{p,n}(\mu\mathbf{e}_n', \mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{I}_n, h)$, where $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ and $h(\cdot)$ is defined through (3). Similarly to the family of normal distributions, the ECD enjoy the linearity property.

2.2.1. Linearity of ECD

Let $\mathbf{X} \sim E_{p,n}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{\Phi}, \psi)$. Assume $\mathbf{C}$: $q \times m$, $\mathbf{D}$: $q \times p$ and $\mathbf{B}$: $n \times m$ are constant matrices. Then

$$\mathbf{DXB} + \mathbf{C} \sim E_{q,m}(\mathbf{DMB} + \mathbf{C},\ (\mathbf{D\Sigma D}') \otimes (\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{\Phi B}),\ \psi) \tag{14}$$

An illustration of the linearity property is provided here:
Let $\mathbf{X} \sim E_{p,n}(\mu\mathbf{e}_n', \mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{I}_n, h)$ where $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n)$. let $\mathbf{H}$ be the centering matrix defined by $\mathbf{H} = I_n - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{e}_n\mathbf{e}_n'$, then the matrix $\mathbf{H}$ is symmetric and idempotent (that is $\mathbf{H}^2 = \mathbf{H}$). Then, the sample SSP matrix $\mathbf{A}$ may be expressed as a function of $\mathbf{X}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A} &= (\mathbf{X} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{e}_n')(\mathbf{X} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{e}_n')' \\
&= \left(\mathbf{X} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{e}_n\mathbf{e}_n'\right)\left(\mathbf{X} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{e}_n\mathbf{e}_n'\right)' \\
&= \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{I}_n - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{e}_n\mathbf{e}_n'\right)\left(\mathbf{I}_n - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{e}_n\mathbf{e}_n'\right)'\mathbf{X}',
\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{XHX}' = (\mathbf{XH})(\mathbf{XH})'. \tag{15}$$

Now using (14), we have $\mathbf{XH} \sim E_{p,n}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{H}, h)$

3. Some Expected Values

Estimating the precision matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ of the random Kotz-Wishart matrix $\mathbf{A}$ requires the derivations of some particular expected values. The following result, due to Gupta and Varga [15], enables us to evaluate the needed expected values of $\mathbf{A}$, without knowing its corresponding pdf.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $\mathbf{X} \sim E_{p,n}(\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{\Phi}, \psi)$. *Let* $l = rank(\mathbf{\Sigma})$, $m = rank(\mathbf{\Phi})$, *and* $R\mathbf{DUB}'$ *be the stochastic representation of* $\mathbf{X}$. *Assume that* $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_{p,n}(\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{\Phi})$. *Let* $K(\mathbf{Z})$ *be a function defined on* $\mathcal{R}^{p\times n}$ *such that if* $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{R}^{p\times n}$ *and* $a \geq 0$ *then* $K(a\mathbf{Z}) = a^k K(\mathbf{Z})$ *where* $k > -lm$. *Assume* $E(K(\mathbf{X}))$ *and* $E(K(\mathbf{Y}))$ *exist. Then,*

$$E(K(\mathbf{X})) = \frac{E(R^k)\Gamma\left(\frac{lm}{2}\right)}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{lm+k}{2}\right)} E(K(\mathbf{Y})). \tag{16}$$

The proof of this theorem can be found in Gupta and Varga, [15, p.100]. It is important to observe that the mixing random variables r and R that appear in both stochastic representations (vector and matrix cases) are similar but not exactly identical. Indeed, by virtue of the vec operator (10), the moments of R are obtained from those of r (2) by replacing p by np. The first two moments of $\mathbf{A}$ are derived as follows

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\mathbf{A}$ *be the Kotz-Wishart random matrix. Then we have*

$$E(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{(n-1)\theta^{-\frac{1}{s}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np}{2s}\right)}{np\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2s}\right)}\mathbf{\Sigma} = c_1\mathbf{\Sigma}. \tag{17}$$

$$E\left(\mathbf{A}^2\right) = \frac{\theta^{-\frac{2}{s}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np+2}{2s}\right)}{np(np+2)\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2s}\right)} E\left(\mathbf{W}^2\right), \tag{18}$$

where $\mathbf{W} \overset{d}{=} \mathbf{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n-1)$ *and* $E\left(\mathbf{W}^2\right)$ *is given by (see [1, p.4])*

$$E\left(\mathbf{W}^2\right) = (n-1)^2\mathbf{\Sigma}^2 + (n-1)\left[\mathbf{\Sigma}tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}) + \mathbf{\Sigma}^2\right]. \tag{19}$$

Proof: Let us define the function $K(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{I}_n - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{e}_n\mathbf{e}_n'\right)\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{XHX}'$. Hence, $E(K(\mathbf{X})) = E(\mathbf{A})$, and $E(K(\mathbf{Y})) = E(\mathbf{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n-1)) = (n-1)\mathbf{\Sigma}$. Using equation (16), where $l = p, m = n$, $k = 2$, and equation (2), (with p replaced by np),we obtain the desired result (17).
To prove the equation (18), we consider the function $K(\cdot)$ defined on $R^{p\times n}$ by

$$K(\mathbf{X}) = \left[\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{I}_n - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{e}_n\mathbf{e}_n'\right)\mathbf{X}'\right]^2.$$

So, we have $E(K(\mathbf{X})) = E\left(\mathbf{A}^2\right)$, and for a given $a \geq 0$ $K(a\mathbf{X}) = a^4K(\mathbf{X})$.
Now, using equation 16 (with $k = 4$) and the fact that $K(\mathbf{Y}) \overset{d}{=} \mathbf{W}^2$, we can write

$$E\left(\mathbf{A}^2\right) = \frac{E(r^4)\Gamma\left(\frac{np}{2}\right)}{2^2\Gamma\left(\frac{np+4}{2}\right)} \cdot E\left(\mathbf{W}^2\right). \tag{20}$$

From equation 16 (with $t = 2$ and p replaced by np), we can also write

$$E\left(R^4\right) = \frac{\theta^{-\frac{2}{s}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np+2}{2s}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2s}\right)}. \tag{21}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\mathbf{A}^2\right) &= \frac{\theta^{-\frac{2}{s}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np+2}{2s}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{np}{2}\right)}{4\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2s}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{np+4}{2}\right)}E\left(\mathbf{W}^2\right) \\ &= \frac{\theta^{-\frac{2}{s}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np+2}{2s}\right)}{np(np+2)\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2s}\right)}\cdot E\left(\mathbf{W}^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\mathbf{A}$ *be the Kotz-Wishart random matrix. Then for any* $t > 0$ *the* t *th moment of the generalized variance* $|\ \mathbf{A}\ |$ *is given by*

$$E\left(|\ \mathbf{A}\ |^t\right) = \frac{\theta^{-\frac{tp}{s}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np+2tp-2}{2s}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{np}{2}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}+t\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2s}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{np+2tp}{2}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}\ |\ \mathbf{\Sigma}\ |^t\ . \tag{22}$$

Proof: To prove (22), we consider the function $K(\cdot)$ defined on $R^{p\times n}$ by

$$K(\mathbf{X}) = |\ \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{I}_n - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{e}_n\mathbf{e}_n')\mathbf{X}'\ |^t,\quad t>0\ .$$

Hence, $E(K(\mathbf{X})) = E\left(|\ \mathbf{A}\ |^t\right)$ and for a given $a \geq 0$ we have

$$K(a\mathbf{X}) = a^{2tp}K(\mathbf{X}).$$

By using equation 16, (with $k = 2tp$), we can write

$$E\left(|\ \mathbf{A}\ |^t\right) = \frac{E\left(r^{2tp}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{np}{2}\right)}{2^{tp}\Gamma\left(\frac{np+2tp}{2}\right)}\cdot E(K(\mathbf{Y})). \tag{23}$$

Here $E(K(\mathbf{Y})) = E\left(|\ \mathbf{W}\ |^t\right)$ where $\mathbf{W} \overset{d}{=} \mathbf{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n-1)$, (that is a Wishart distribution). So, $E\left(|\ \mathbf{W}\ |^t\right)$ is given by the following well-known result (see [34] or [30, p.101])

$$E\left(|\ \mathbf{W}\ |^t\right) = \frac{2^{tp}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}+t\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}\cdot\ |\ \mathbf{\Sigma}\ |^t, \tag{24}$$

and $E(r^{2tp})$ is given by (see equation 2)

$$E\left(R^{2tp}\right) = \frac{\theta^{-\frac{tp}{s}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np+2tp-2}{2s}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2s}\right)}. \tag{25}$$

Now, substituting (2) and (24) in equation (23), we obtain the desired result (22). For the calculations of $E(\mathbf{A}^{-1}), E(\mathbf{A}^{-2})$ and $E(|\ \mathbf{A}\ |^{-t})$, interested readers are referred to [33].

4. Estimation of the Precision Matrix

The problem of estimating the precision matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ of a multivariate normal model has been widely investigated by several authors. A review paper on this particular subject was presented by Kubokawa [26]. Specially in an empirical Bayes estimation context, Efron and Morris [9] had proved that the unbiased estimator of the precision matrix was the best constant multiple of $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$. Hence, the purpose of this section consists in proving that the above results remain robust under the multivariate Kotz model. To achieve our goal here, we will make use of the same Efron-Morris's loss function, specified by:

$$l(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}, \mathbf{\Delta}) = \frac{tr\left[(\mathbf{\Delta} - \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1})^2 \mathbf{A}\right]}{\nu tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1})}, \tag{26}$$

where $\mathbf{\Delta}$ denotes any estimator of $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ is $W_p(\nu, \Sigma)$, with $\nu > p + 1$. As usual, the corresponding risk function is defined by

$$R(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}, \mathbf{\Delta}) = E\left[l(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}, \mathbf{\Delta})\right], \tag{27}$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to the distribution of the random matrix $\mathbf{A}$. In our present case, $\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} KW_p(n-1, \Sigma)$, and we will assume $n > p+2$. Therefore, some adjustments on the Efron-Morris's loss function are made accordingly; say $\nu = n - 1$. Note that the problem of estimating $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ was considered in [33], under a quadratic loss function. Further, an unbiased estimator $\mathbf{\Delta}_0 = c_0\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ for the precision matrix was obtained, where

$$c_0 = \frac{(n-p-2)\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2s}\right)}{\theta^{1/s}(np-2)\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-4}{2s}\right)}. \tag{28}$$

Recall that an estimator $\mathbf{\Delta}_1$ is said to be better than another estimator $\mathbf{\Delta}_2$ if $R(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}, \mathbf{\Delta}_1) \leq R(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}, \mathbf{\Delta}_2), \quad \forall\ \mathbf{\Sigma} > 0$. The dominance result involving the unbiased estimator $\mathbf{\Delta}_0$ is derived in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1.
The best (smallest risk) estimator of the precision matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ of the Kotz-Wishart distribution, having the form $\alpha\mathbf{A}^{-1}$, is the unbiased estimator $\mathbf{\Delta}_0 = c_0\mathbf{A}^{-1}$, where c_0 is given by (28). And its corresponding risk is given by

$$R(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}, \mathbf{\Delta}_0) = \frac{c_1 - c_0}{\nu}, \tag{29}$$

where c_1 is specified in (17) and $\nu = n - 1$.

Proof:
Let $\mathbf{\Delta} = \alpha\mathbf{A}^{-1}$, $\alpha > 0$ be an estimator of $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$. Since $E(tr(\cdot)) = tr(E(\cdot))$, and

both functions $E(\cdot)$, $tr(\cdot)$ are linear, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\nu tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1})R(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1},\mathbf{\Delta}) &= E\left[\alpha^2 tr(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) - 2\alpha tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}) + tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-2}\mathbf{A})\right] \\ &= \alpha^2\frac{1}{c_0}tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}) - 2\alpha tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}) + c_1 tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}) \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{c_0} - 2\alpha + c_1\right)tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $R(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1},\mathbf{\Delta}) = \dfrac{g(\alpha)}{\nu}$, where $g(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^2}{c_0} - 2\alpha + c_1$ is a quadratic function in α, which attains its minimum at α_0 solution of the equation $g'(\alpha) = 0$, here $g'(\cdot)$ denotes the derivative. Hence, the unique solution is $\alpha_0 = c_0$, which proves that $\mathbf{\Delta}_0$ is the best estimator of $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$, among the estimators of the form $\alpha\mathbf{A}^{-1}$. Its corresponding risk is:

$$\begin{aligned}R(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1},\mathbf{\Delta}_0) &= \frac{g(c_0)}{\nu} \\ &= \frac{c_1 - c_0}{\nu},\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 4.1.
As a special case, when $q = 2\theta = s = 1$, then the Kotz model reduces to the usual classical normal model. In this case, the constants c_0 and c_1 reduce to $n-p-2$ and $n-1$ respectively. Consequently, the above risk simplifies to

$$R(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1},\mathbf{\Delta}_0) = \frac{p+1}{\nu},$$

which is the risk obtained by Efron and Morris[9]. Outside the class of constant multiples of $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$, the quoted authors proposed better estimators than the unbiased one. Instead of following their footsteps along that direction, we just recall that similar improved estimators have been considered in Sarr and Gupta [33], under a quadratic loss function. Recently, increasing interest in the problem of estimating the precision matrices is observed in high dimensional contexts; with applications in discriminant analysis [27] as well as in finance [5].

5. Derivation of Density Formulas

In this section, we provide the explicit expressions for the probability density functions for the Kotz-Wishart random matrix and for its inverted version.
For any uncorrelated (or dependent) elliptical model of the form (3), Anderson and Fang (see [10, p.207] or [15, p.239]) derived a general formula for the pdf of its corresponding SSP matrix $\mathbf{A}$:

$$f(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{pn}{2}} \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^{\frac{n-p}{2}-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \mid \mathbf{\Sigma} \mid^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} h(x^2 + tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A}))dx. \tag{30}$$

Here, we derive an explicit form of the pdf of the Kotz-Wishart random matrix $\mathbf{A}$, when $s = 1$. In other words, we have to compute the above integral when

$$h(y) = c_{pn} y^{q-1} \exp(-\theta y), \quad y \geq 0 \text{ and } c_{pn} = \frac{\theta^{\frac{2q+pn-2}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{pn}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{pn}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2q+pn-2}{2}\right)} . \tag{31}$$

The result is given in the following lemma

Lemma 5.1. *The pdf of the Kotz-Wishart random matrix* $\mathbf{A}$ *is*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{A}) &= K \mid \mathbf{A} \mid^{\frac{n-p}{2}-1} \left(tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})\right)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{\theta tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \times W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})), \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where

$$K = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{pn}{2}\right) \mid \mathbf{\Sigma} \mid^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2q+pn-2}{2}\right)} \theta^{\frac{2q+2pn-p-4}{4}} , \tag{33}$$

and $W_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot)$ *denotes the Whittaker function (see the Appendix), with*

$$\alpha = \frac{2q-p}{4} , \quad \beta = \frac{2q+p-2}{4} . \tag{34}$$

Proof: Let us calculate the integral

$$\mathbf{I} = \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} h\left(x^2 + tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})\right) dx,$$

where $h(\cdot)$ is given by (31). Setting $b = tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I} &= c_{pn} \int_0^\infty x^{p-1}(x^2+b)^{q-1} \exp\left(-\theta(x^2+b)\right) dx \\ &= c_{pn} \exp(-\theta b) \int_0^\infty x^{p-1}(x^2+b)^{q-1} \exp(-\theta x^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let us make the change of variable $z = x^2$, then the jacobian of the transformation is $\frac{1}{2} z^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x^{p-1}(x^2+b)^{q-1} \exp(-\theta x^2) dx &= \int_0^\infty z^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(z+b)^{q-1} \exp(-\theta z) \frac{1}{2} z^{-\frac{1}{2}} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty z^{\frac{p}{2}-1}(z+b)^{q-1} \exp(-\theta z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Now using equation (86), taken from the appendix, we can write

$$\int_0^\infty z^{\frac{p}{2}-1}(z+b)^{q-1} \exp(-\theta z) dz = b^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}} \theta^{-\frac{2q+p}{4}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\theta b}{2}\right) W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta b) ,$$

where

$$\alpha \;=\; \frac{2q-p}{4}, \quad \beta \;=\; \frac{2q+p-2}{4}.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^\infty x^{p-1}h(x^2+b)dx \;=\; \frac{c_{pn}}{2}\theta^{-\frac{2q+p}{4}}\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)b^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}}\exp\left(-\frac{\theta b}{2}\right)W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta b)\ .$$

Define K_1 as follows

$$K_1 \;=\; \frac{c_{pn}}{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)\theta^{-\frac{2q+p}{4}} \;=\; \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{pn}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)}{2\pi^{\frac{pn}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2}\right)}\theta^{\frac{2q+2pn-p-4}{4}},$$

we have

$$\frac{2\pi^{\frac{pn}{2}}\mid\mathbf{\Sigma}\mid^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}K_1 \;=\; \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{pn}{2}\right)\mid\mathbf{\Sigma}\mid^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+pn-2}{2}\right)}\theta^{\frac{2q+2pn-p-4}{4}} \;=K,$$

where K is given by (33). So, we finally get

$$\frac{2\pi^{\frac{pn}{2}}\mid\mathbf{A}\mid^{\frac{n-p}{2}-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\mid\mathbf{\Sigma}\mid^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}\int_0^\infty x^{p-1}h(x^2+tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A}))dx \;=$$

$$K\mid\mathbf{A}\mid^{\frac{n-p}{2}-1}\left(tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})\right)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}}\exp\left(-\frac{\theta tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})}{2}\right)W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})),$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Remark 5.1. *As a special case, if $q = 2\theta = 1$, then α and β reduce to $\frac{2-p}{4}$ and $\frac{p}{4}$ respectively. Now, using the identity (85) from the Appendix enables us to simplify the pdf (32):*

$$f(\mathbf{A}) \;=\; \frac{\mid\mathbf{A}\mid^{\frac{n-p}{2}-1}}{2^{\frac{p(n-1)}{2}}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\mid\mathbf{\Sigma}\mid^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})\right), \tag{35}$$

which is identical to (7).That is, $\mathbf{A} \sim W_p(n-1,\Sigma)$. The identity (85) will be used repeatedly in the present work.

The expression (32) may also be rewritten as

$$f(\mathbf{A}) \;=\; C_1(q,\theta)\mid\mathbf{\Sigma}\mid^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}\mid\mathbf{A}\mid^{\frac{\nu-p-1}{2}}\left(\theta tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})\right)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}}\exp\left(-\frac{\theta tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})}{2}\right)$$

$$\times W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})), \tag{36}$$

where $\nu = n-1$, $\alpha = \frac{2q-p}{4}, \beta = \frac{2q+p-2}{4}$ and

$$C_1(q,\theta) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p(\nu+1)}{2}\right)\theta^{\frac{p\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p(\nu+1)-2}{2}\right)\Gamma_p(\frac{\nu}{2})}.$$

Definition 5.1.
Under the restriction $s = 1$, a $p \times p$ symmetric random matrix $\mathbf{A} > 0$ is said to have a Kotz-Wishart distribution, with ν degrees of freedom and parameter matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma} > 0$ if its pdf is given by (36), with $\nu \geq p$.

The pdf of the random matrix $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ is derived in the next lemma

Lemma 5.2.
Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the Kotz-Wishart matrix whose pdf is (36). Let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$. Then the pdf of $\mathbf{B} > 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{B}) &= C_1 \mid \mathbf{\Sigma} \mid^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \mid \mathbf{B} \mid^{-\frac{\nu+p+1}{2}} \left(\theta tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{-1})\right)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{\theta tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{-1})}{2}\right) \\ &\times W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{-1})), \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where ν, α, β and $C_1 = C_1(q, \theta)$ are given in (36).

Proof:
Since the jacobian of the transformation $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ is $\mid \mathbf{B} \mid^{-(p+1)}$, (see [30, p.59]), the desired result follows directly.

Definition 5.2. Inverted Kotz-Wishart
A $p \times p$ random matrix $\mathbf{B} > 0$ is said to have an inverted Kotz-Wishart distribution, with d degrees of freedom and parameter matrix $\mathbf{V} > 0$ if its pdf is

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{B}) &= C_1(q, \theta) \mid \mathbf{V} \mid^{\frac{d-p-1}{2}} \mid \mathbf{B} \mid^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left(\theta tr(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{B}^{-1})\right)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{\theta tr(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{B}^{-1})}{2}\right) \\ &\times W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta tr(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{B}^{-1})), \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where $d > 2p$, and α, β given in (36). This will be denoted by $\mathbf{B}$ is $IKW_p(d, \mathbf{V})$.

Once again, when $q = 2\theta = 1$, then $\alpha + \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ and by virtue of (85), the above pdf reduces to

$$g(\mathbf{B}) = \frac{2^{-\frac{p(d-p-1)}{2}}}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{d-p-1}{2}\right)} \mid \mathbf{V} \mid^{\frac{d-p-1}{2}} \mid \mathbf{B} \mid^{-\frac{d}{2}} etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\right), \quad \mathbf{B} > 0,$$

which coincides with (8), the pdf of the inverted Wishart distribution.
A direct consequence of the above definitions is illustrated through the following relationship:

$$\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} KW_p(\nu, \Sigma) \quad \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{-1} \overset{d}{=} IKW_p(\nu + p + 1, \Sigma^{-1}).$$

Further properties of the Kotz-Wishart distributions as well as its inverted version will be investigated in the next section.

6. Some Results Involving Zonal Polynomials

Zonal polynomials play a crucial role in multivariate statistical analysis. Indeed, the exact distributions of many statistics involve, in general, zonal polynomials, as pointed out in the excellent textbook by Muirhead [30]. The theory of zonal polynomials for real matrices was first introduced by James (1961) [19]. More generally, the foundations of the theory of zonal polynomials and its applications in multivariate analysis were built through a series of papers [18], [19], [6] and [20] by James and Constantine. And most of the main results involving zonal polynomials are collected in Muirhead's book. However, those existing results are all essentially based on the normality assumption. Generalized multivariate analysis, our current framework, involves more general distributions than the normal ones, such as elliptically contoured distributions (ECD). Before deriving our main results, let us first recall some useful notations and definitions. For more details about the construction of zonal polynomials, interested readers are referred to [30], chapter.7.
The Pochammer symbol $(a)_k$ is defined by $(a)_k = a(a+1)\dots(a+k-1),\ k = 1, 2\dots$ with $(a)_0 = 1$. Let $C_\kappa(\mathbf{X})$ be the zonal polynomial of the $p \times p$ symmetric matrix $\mathbf{X}$ corresponding to the ordered partition $\kappa = (k_1, \dots, k_p),\ k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_p = k,\ k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_p \geq 0$. Then

$$(tr\mathbf{X})^k = \sum_\kappa C_\kappa(\mathbf{X}), \tag{39}$$

where $\sum_\kappa$ denotes summation over all the ordered partitions κ of k. The generalized hypergeometric functions, which involve zonal polynomials, are defined as follows (see [p.258-259][30]):

Definition 6.1. *The hypergeometric functions of matrix argument are given by*

$${}_mF_q(a_1, \dots, a_m; b_1, \dots, b_q; \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_\kappa \frac{(a_1)_\kappa \cdots (a_m)_\kappa}{(b_1)_\kappa \cdots (b_q)_\kappa} \frac{C_\kappa(\mathbf{X})}{k!}, \tag{40}$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_q$ are real or complex and the generalized hypergeometric coefficient $(a)_\kappa$ is given by

$$(a)_\kappa = \prod_{i=1}^{p} (a - \frac{1}{2}(i-1))_{k_i}, \tag{41}$$

where $(r)_{k_i}$ denotes the Pochammer symbol. The matrix $\mathbf{X}$ being a complex symmetric $p \times p$ matrix, and it is also assumed that $m \leq q + 1$.

Two special cases, which are of great interest in our work, are

$${}_0F_0(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_\kappa \frac{C_\kappa(\mathbf{X})}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tr\mathbf{X})^k}{k!} = etr(\mathbf{X}). \tag{42}$$

And

$$(43) \qquad {}_1F_0(a;\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}(a)_\kappa \frac{C_\kappa(\mathbf{X})}{k!} = |I_p - \mathbf{X}|^{-a}, \qquad ||\mathbf{X}|| < 1,$$

where $||\mathbf{X}||$ denotes the maximum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}$.

Remark 6.1.
If any numerator parameter a_1 is a negative integer, say, $a_1 = -m$, then the function (40) reduces to a polynomial of degree pm, because for $k \geq pm+1$, $(a_1)_\kappa = 0$. Khatri ([23]) exploited this particular case to derive explicit forms of the distributions of the smallest eigenvalue of a Wishart matrix. Here, we will establish similar results based on the Kotz-Wishart matrix.

First let us review some useful lemmas, needed to achieve our goal.

6.1. Some preliminary results on integration

The following lemma, due to Li (1997) ([28]), is completely proved in ([8]) (2011).

Lemma 6.1.
let $\mathbf{Z}$ be a complex symmetric $p \times p$ matrix with $Re(\mathbf{Z}) > 0$, and let $\mathbf{U}$ be a symmetric $p \times p$ matrix. Assume

$$\gamma = \int_0^\infty f(y) y^{pa-k-1} dy < \infty,$$

where k is a given positive integer. Then

$$(44) \qquad \int_{\mathbf{X}>0} |\mathbf{X}|^{a-\frac{p+1}{2}} f(tr\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z}) C_\kappa(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{U})(d\mathbf{X}) =$$

$$\frac{(-1)^k \Gamma_p(a)}{\Gamma(ap-k)(-a+\frac{p+1}{2})_\kappa} \cdot \gamma \cdot |\mathbf{Z}|^{-a} C_\kappa(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{Z}),$$

for $Re(a) > k_1 + \frac{p-1}{2}$, where $\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_p)$, and $k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_p = k$.

The following lemma, presented in ([7]), is needed to derive the moment generating function (mgf) of the Kotz-Wishart matrix.

Lemma 6.2.
let $\mathbf{Z}$ be a complex symmetric $p \times p$ matrix with $Re(\mathbf{Z}) > 0$, and let $\mathbf{U}$ be a symmetric $p \times p$ matrix. Assume

$$\delta = \int_0^\infty h(y) y^{pa+k-1} dy < \infty,$$

where k is a given positive integer. Then

$$\int_{\mathbf{X}>0} |\mathbf{X}|^{a-\frac{p+1}{2}} h(tr\mathbf{XZ}) C_\kappa(\mathbf{XU})(d\mathbf{X}) = \frac{(a)_\kappa \Gamma_p(a)}{\Gamma(ap+k)} \cdot \delta \cdot |\mathbf{Z}|^{-a} C_\kappa(\mathbf{UZ}^{-1}), \tag{45}$$

for $Re(a) > \frac{p-1}{2}$, where $\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_p)$, and $k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_p = k$.

The next result will be used to calculate the constant γ in (44).

Lemma 6.3.
Let $\mathbf{\Sigma} > 0$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda} > 0$ be two $p \times p$ matrices. Let $h(\cdot)$ be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{X}) &= h_1(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{X} + d) \\ &= \left[\theta(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{X} + d)\right]^\xi \exp(-\frac{\theta}{2}(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{X} + d)) W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{X} + d)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{X} > 0$ is a $p \times p$ matrix, $d = tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}$, $\theta > 0$, $q > 0$, $\alpha = \frac{2q-p}{4}$, $\beta = \frac{2q+p-2}{4}$ and $\xi = \frac{2q+p-4}{4}$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty h(z) z^{\frac{\nu p}{2}-k-1} dz = \tag{46}$$

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu p}{2} - k\right) \left(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}\right)^{\frac{\nu p}{2}-k} \mathbf{G}_{23}^{30}\left(\theta tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda} \middle| \begin{matrix} 0, & \frac{p}{2} \\ -\frac{p\nu}{2}+k, & q-1+\frac{p}{2}, \quad 0 \end{matrix}\right),$$

where k is a given positive integer and G_{qr}^{ts} denotes the Meijer's $\mathbf{G}$-function

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \int_0^\infty h(z) z^{\frac{\nu p}{2}-k-1} dz \\ &= \int_0^\infty [\theta(z+d)]^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}} \exp(-\frac{\theta}{2}(z+d)) W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta(z+d)) z^{\frac{\nu p}{2}-k-1} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variable $\theta z = t$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= e^{-\frac{\theta d}{2}} \int_0^\infty (t+\theta d)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} W_{\alpha,\beta}(t+\theta d) \theta^{-\frac{\nu p}{2}+k} t^{\frac{\nu p}{2}-k-1} dt \\ &= e^{-\frac{\theta d}{2}} \theta^{-\frac{\nu p}{2}+k} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{\nu p}{2}-k-1} (t+\theta d)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} W_{\alpha,\beta}(t+\theta d) dt \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is evaluated using the identity (87), by substituting the appropriate parameters. Namely, $\rho = \frac{\nu p}{2} - k$, $c = \theta tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}$, $\sigma = -\frac{2q+p-4}{4}$, $\alpha = \frac{2q-p}{4}$, $\beta = \frac{2q+p-2}{4}$, $1 - \alpha - \sigma = \frac{p}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2} + \beta - \sigma = q - 1 + \frac{p}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{2} - \beta - \sigma = 0$.
With the help of the above materials, we are now ready to extend Khatri's results ([23]).

6.2. Distribution of the smallest eigenvalue of KW matrix

The distribution of the smallest eigenvalue of the Kotz-Wishart matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is obtained by following Khatri's approach: First, we evaluate the probability $P(\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda})$, where $\mathbf{\Lambda} > 0$ and $\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda}$ means $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{\Lambda} > 0$. Secondly, we use the obtained probability to derive the cdf of the smallest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{A}$.

Theorem 6.1.
let $\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} KW_p(\nu, \Sigma)$, *with* $\nu = n - 1 \geq p$, *and let* $\mathbf{\Lambda} > 0$ *be a* $p \times p$ *matrix. If* $m = \frac{\nu-p-1}{2}$ *is a positive integer, Then*

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda}) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p(\nu+1)}{2}\right)\theta^{\frac{p\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p(\nu+1)-2}{2}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} b_k \frac{(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda})^{\frac{p\nu}{2}-k}}{k!} C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p(\nu+1)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p(\nu+1)-2}{2}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} b_k \frac{(\theta tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda})^{\frac{p\nu}{2}-k}}{k!} C_\kappa(\theta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}) \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where $\sum_{\kappa}^{\star}$ *denotes summation over the partitions* $\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_p)$ *of* k *with* $k_1 \leq m$, *and*

$$b_k = \mathbf{G}_{23}^{30}\left(\theta tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}\middle| \begin{matrix} 0, & & \frac{p}{2} \\ -\frac{p\nu}{2}+k, & q-1+\frac{p}{2}, & 0 \end{matrix}\right),$$

where G_{qr}^{ts} *denotes the Meijer's* $\mathbf{G}$*-function.*

Proof:
The pdf of $\mathbf{A}$ (36) is $f(\mathbf{A}) = C_1|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\nu/2}|\mathbf{A}|^{\frac{\nu-p-1}{2}} h_1(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})$, where $h_1(y) = (\theta y)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}} \exp(-\frac{\theta y}{2}) W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta y)$. Thus

$$P(\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda}) = C_1|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\nu/2} \int_{\mathbf{A}>\mathbf{\Lambda}} |\mathbf{A}|^{\frac{\nu-p-1}{2}} h_1(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})(d\mathbf{A})$$

Making the change of variables $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{\Lambda}$ and putting $tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda} = d$ give:

$$P(\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda}) = C_1|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\nu/2} \int_{\mathbf{X}>0} |\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{\Lambda}|^{\frac{\nu-p-1}{2}} h_1(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{X} + d)(d\mathbf{X})$$

On the other hand, considering (43) under the conditions of Remark 6.1, with $m = \frac{\nu-p-1}{2}$ being a positive integer, enable writing

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{\Lambda}|^m &= |\mathbf{X}|^m \cdot {}_1F_0(-m; -\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}) \\ &= |\mathbf{X}|^m \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} (-1)^k (-m)_\kappa \frac{C_\kappa(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda})}{k!}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_\kappa{}^\star$ denotes summation over those partitions $\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_p)$ of k such that $k_1 \leq m$. Then, the probability $P(\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda})$ becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda}) &= C_1 |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\nu/2} \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} \frac{(-1)^k (-m)_\kappa}{k!} \times \\ &\int_{\mathbf{X}>0} |\mathbf{X}|^m h_1(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{X} + d) C_\kappa(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda})(d\mathbf{X}). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The last integral in (48), called $\mathbf{J}$, is evaluated using Li's result (44), with $a = \frac{\nu}{2}$, $(-a + \frac{p+1}{2})_\kappa = (-m)_\kappa$, $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J} &= \int_{\mathbf{X}>0} |\mathbf{X}|^m h(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{X}) C_\kappa(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda})(d\mathbf{X}) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma_p(\frac{\nu}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu p}{2} - k)(-m)_\kappa} \cdot \gamma \cdot |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\frac{\nu}{2}} C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Finally, the desired result is obtained by substituting (46) and (49) in (48), and using the fact that $\theta^{-k} C_\kappa(\theta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}) = C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda})$.

Remark 6.2.
As a special case, if $q = 2\theta = 1$, then $\alpha + \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ and using the identity (85), we get a simple expression for γ:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \theta^{-\frac{\nu p}{2}+k} e^{-\theta d} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{\nu p}{2}-k-1} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} dt \\ &= (1/2)^{-\frac{\nu p}{2}+k} etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu p}{2} - k\right). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Consequently, the expression (48) reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} \frac{(1/2)^k e^{-d/2}}{k!} C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}) \\ &= etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} \frac{C_\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}\right)}{k!}, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

which is similar to Khatri's result ([23]). It is worth noting here that Khatri ([23]) established his result for the random matrix $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}$, where $\mathbf{A} \sim W_p(\nu, \Sigma)$.

Two direct consequences of the above Theorem are provided below.

Corollary 6.1.
let $\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} KW_p(\nu, \Sigma)$, with $\nu = n - 1 \geq p$, and let ω_p be the smallest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{A}$. **If** *$m = \frac{\nu-p-1}{2}$ is a positive integer, Then*

$$P(\omega_p > x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p(\nu+1)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p(\nu+1)-2}{2}\right)} x^{\frac{p\nu}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} \frac{b_k}{k!} (\theta tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1})^{\frac{p\nu}{2}-k} C_\kappa(\theta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}) \tag{52}$$

where $\sum_{\kappa}^{\star}$ *denotes summation over the partitions* $\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_p)$ *of* k *with* $k_1 \leq m$, *and*

$$b_k = \mathbf{G}_{23}^{30}\left(\theta x tr\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\middle| \begin{matrix} 0, & \frac{p}{2} \\ -\frac{p\nu}{2}+k, & q-1+\frac{p}{2}, \quad 0 \end{matrix}\right),$$

where G_{qr}^{ts} *denotes the Meijer's* $\mathbf{G}$*-function. Thus, the distribution function of* ω_p *is given by*

$$F_{\omega_p}(x) = 1 - \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p(\nu+1)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p(\nu+1)-2}{2}\right)} x^{\frac{p\nu}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} \frac{b_k}{k!} (\theta tr\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{\frac{p\nu}{2}-k} C_{\kappa}(\theta\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}). \tag{53}$$

Proof:
Since the inequality $\omega_p > x$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{A} > x\mathbf{I}_p$, then (52) is obtained directly from (49) by putting $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = x\mathbf{I}_p$, with $x > 0$.

Corollary 6.2.
Let η_1 *be the largest eigenvalue of the inverted Kotz-Wishart matrix* $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$. *Its cdf* $F_{\eta_1}(\cdot)$ *is given by:*
(54)

$$F_{\eta_1}(y) = P(\eta_1 \leq y) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p(\nu+1)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p(\nu+1)-2}{2}\right)} y^{-\frac{p\nu}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} \frac{b'_k}{k!} (\theta tr\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{\frac{p\nu}{2}-k} C_{\kappa}(\theta\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}),$$

where

$$b'_k = \mathbf{G}_{23}^{30}\left(\frac{\theta}{y} tr\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\middle| \begin{matrix} 0, & \frac{p}{2} \\ -\frac{p\nu}{2}+k, & q-1+\frac{p}{2}, \quad 0 \end{matrix}\right),$$

Proof:
Since $\omega_p = \frac{1}{\eta_1}$, the expression (54) follows directly from (52) by putting $x = \frac{1}{y}$.

6.2.1. Some Comments

(i) The probability density function of the smallest eigenvalue ω_p of the KW matrix $\mathbf{A}$ may be derived by differentiating (53) with respect to x. It seems however difficult to express it in closed form.
(ii) Constantine [6] established a closed form for the distribution function of the Wishart matrix, and then used it to derive explicit expression of the distribution function of the largest eigenvalue. In the next section, we will derive the distribution function of the inverted Kotz-Wishart matrix, which includes the cdf of the inverted Wishart matrix as a special case.

6.3. Distribution function of the Inverted Kotz-Wishart matrix

The distribution function of $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ is obtained here using the following inequality

in Loewner's sense (see [16]):

$$\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda} \text{ is equivalent to } \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} > \mathbf{A}^{-1} > 0,$$

this implies

$$P\left(\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda}\right) = P\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} < \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\right) \tag{55}$$

In other words, the cdf of $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ may be derived from the expression $P\left(\mathbf{A} > \mathbf{\Lambda}\right)$ that we have already evaluated.

Theorem 6.2.
Let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ *where* $\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} KW_p(\nu, \Sigma)$, *with* $\nu = n - 1 \geq p$, *and let* $\mathbf{\Omega} > 0$ *be a* $p \times p$ *matrix.* **If** $m = \frac{\nu - p - 1}{2}$ *is a positive integer, then the cdf of* $\mathbf{B}$ *is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{B} < \mathbf{\Omega}) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p(\nu+1)}{2}\right)\theta^{\frac{p\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p(\nu+1)-2}{2}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} b_k^{\star} \frac{(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1})^{\frac{p\nu}{2}-k}}{k!} C_{\kappa}(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p(\nu+1)}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+p(\nu+1)-2}{2}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} b_k^{\star} \frac{(\theta tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1})^{\frac{p\nu}{2}-k}}{k!} C_{\kappa}(\theta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where $\sum_{\kappa}^{\star}$ *denotes summation over the partitions* $\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_p)$ *of* k *with* $k_1 \leq m$, *and*

$$b_k^{\star} = \mathbf{G}_{23}^{30}\left(\theta tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}\middle| \begin{matrix} 0, & \frac{p}{2} \\ -\frac{p\nu}{2} + k, & q - 1 + \frac{p}{2}, \quad 0 \end{matrix}\right),$$

Proof:
The above result is proved by putting $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}$ in (47). Now, the cdf of the inverted Wishart matrix is obtained as a special case of (56).

Corollary 6.3.
If $q = 2\theta = 1$ *and* $m = \frac{\nu - p - 1}{2}$ *is a positive integer, then the cdf of the inverted Wishart matrix is given by:*

$$P(\mathbf{B} < \mathbf{\Omega}) = etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{pm} \sum_{\kappa}^{\star} \frac{C_{\kappa}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}\right)}{k!}. \tag{57}$$

Proof: This is directly proved by putting $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}$ in (51). We propose now an expression for the cdf $P(\mathbf{A} < \mathbf{\Omega})$ of the Kotz-Wishart matrix $\mathbf{A}$ as a **conjecture:**
Let $\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} KW_p(\nu, \Sigma)$, with $\nu = n - 1 \geq p$, and let $\mathbf{\Omega} > 0$ be a $p \times p$ matrix. Then the cdf of $\mathbf{A}$ is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{A} < \mathbf{\Omega}) &= \int_0^{\Omega} f(A)(dA) \\ &= \frac{|\theta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Omega}|^{\nu/2}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{\nu+p+1}{2}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(a)_{\kappa}}{(b)_{\kappa}} \omega_k(q,\theta) \frac{C_{\kappa}(-\theta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Omega})}{k!}, \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where $a = \frac{\nu}{2}$, $b = \frac{\nu+p+1}{2}$ and $\omega_k(q,\theta)$ is a function satisfying the condition

$$\omega_k(q=1,\theta=1/2) \;=\; 1. \tag{59}$$

When $q = 2\theta = 1$, the expression (58) reduces to the cdf of the Wishart matrix, which was derived by Constantine [6]. This is one of the reasons that motivated the conjecture.

6.4. The moment generating function of Kotz-Wishart matrix

Let $\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} KW_p(\nu,\Sigma)$, with $\nu = n-1 \geq p$, and let $\mathbf{\Omega} > 0$ be a $p \times p$ matrix. The moment generating function $M_{\mathbf{A}}(\cdot)$ of $\mathbf{A}$ is defined by:

$$M_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{\Omega}) \overset{def}{=} E\left[etr(\mathbf{\Omega A})\right]. \tag{60}$$

Now using (42) and the linearity of the expectation-operator, we have

$$M_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{\Omega}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{1}{k!}E\left(C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega A})\right) \tag{61}$$

Before deriving the mgf of $\mathbf{A}$, let us first evaluate the expected value that appears in (61).

Lemma 6.4.
Let $\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} KW_p(\nu,\Sigma)$, with $\nu = n-1 \geq p$, and let $\mathbf{\Omega} > 0$ be a $p \times p$ matrix. And let k be a given positive integer, with $\kappa = (k_1,\ldots,k_p)$ a partition associated to k. Then we have:

$$E\left[C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega A})\right] = K(n,p)C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega\Sigma}), \tag{62}$$

where

$$K(n,p) = \theta^{-k}\frac{(\frac{n-1}{2})_\kappa\Gamma(\frac{np}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2q+np+2k-2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{2q+np-2}{2})\Gamma(\frac{np+2k}{2})} \tag{63}$$

Proof:
Since the pdf of $\mathbf{A}$ is $f(\mathbf{A}) = C_1|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\nu/2}|\mathbf{A}|^{\frac{\nu-p-1}{2}}h_1(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})$, where $h_1(y) = (\theta y)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}}\exp(-\frac{\theta y}{2})W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta y)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega A})\right] &= \int_{\mathbf{A}>\mathbf{0}} C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega A})f(\mathbf{A})(d\mathbf{A}) \\ &= C_1|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\nu/2}\int_{\mathbf{A}>0} C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega A})|\mathbf{A}|^{\frac{\nu-p-1}{2}}h_1(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})(d\mathbf{A}). \end{aligned}$$

The latter integral is evaluated using the identity (45), that is

$$\int_{\mathbf{A}>0} C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega A})|\mathbf{A}|^{\frac{\nu-p-1}{2}}h_1(tr\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})(d\mathbf{A}) = \frac{(a)_\kappa\Gamma_p(a)}{\Gamma(ap+k)}\cdot\delta\cdot|\mathbf{Z}|^{-a}C_\kappa(\mathbf{UZ}^{-1}),$$

where $a = \frac{\nu}{2}$, $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{\Omega}$. Consequently the proof is completed by calculating the constant δ, which is done as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta &= \int_0^\infty (\theta y)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}} \exp(-\frac{\theta y}{2}) W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta y) y^{\frac{\nu p}{2}+k-1} dy \\
&= \theta^{-\frac{\nu p}{2}-k+1} \int_0^\infty (\theta y)^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}+\frac{\nu p}{2}+k-1} \exp(-\frac{\theta y}{2}) W_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta y) dy \\
&= \theta^{-\frac{\nu p}{2}-k} \int_0^\infty z^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}+\frac{\nu p}{2}+k-1} \exp(-\frac{z}{2}) W_{\alpha,\beta}(z) dz \\
&= \theta^{-\frac{\nu p}{2}-k} \frac{\Gamma(\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\Gamma(\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2}+\beta)}{\Gamma(\varepsilon-\alpha+1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last expression is obtained after the change of variables $z = \theta y$, followed by the use of the identity (88). Here, the appropriate parameters are: $\varepsilon = \frac{2q+p-4}{4} + \frac{\nu p}{2} + k$, $\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} - \beta = \frac{np-p+2k}{2}$, $\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} + \beta = \frac{2q+np+2k-2}{2}$ and $\varepsilon - \alpha + 1 = \frac{np+2k}{2}$. Hence we obtain

$$\delta = \theta^{-\frac{\nu p}{2}-k} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2q+np+2k-2}{2})\Gamma(\frac{np-p+2k}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{np+2k}{2})} \tag{64}$$

Finally, the desired result is obtained by substituting (64) in the expression of $E\left[C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega A})\right]$, followed by some trivial simplifications.
Next we establish a closed form of the moment generating function of the Kotz-Wishart matrix.

Theorem 6.3.
Let $\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} KW_p(\nu, \Sigma)$, with $\nu = n - 1 \geq p$, and let $\mathbf{\Omega} > 0$ be a $p \times p$ matrix. And let k be a given positive integer, with $\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_p)$ a partition associated with k. Then, the mgf of $\mathbf{A}$ is given by:

$$M_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{\Omega}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} K(n,p) \frac{C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega\Sigma})}{k!}\ , \tag{65}$$

where $K(n,p)$ is given in (63).

Proof:
To obtain the desired result (65), it suffices to substitute (63) and (62) in (61). As a special case of (65), if $q = 2\theta = 1$, then $K(n,p)$ and $E\left[C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega A})\right]$ reduce respectively to:

$$K(n,p) = 2^k \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)_\kappa, \quad \textit{and} \quad E\left[C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Omega A})\right] = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)_\kappa C_\kappa(2\mathbf{\Omega\Sigma}).$$

And the mgf (65) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{\Omega}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)_{\kappa}\frac{C_{\kappa}(2\mathbf{\Omega\Sigma})}{k!} \\ &= {}_1F_0\left(\frac{n-1}{2}; 2\mathbf{\Omega\Sigma}\right) \\ &= |I_p - 2\mathbf{\Omega\Sigma}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}, \quad for \;\; 0 < 2\mathbf{\Omega\Sigma} < \mathbf{I}_p, \end{aligned}$$

the last line being the well-known mgf of Wishart matrix $W_p(n-1, \mathbf{\Sigma})$.

7. Matrix variate Varma transform

In 1951, Varma [37] introduced a generalization of the well-known Laplace transform, namely

$$g(t) = \int_0^{\infty} \left[(tx)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{tx}{2}} W_{\alpha,\beta}(tx)\right] \phi(x)dx, \quad t > 0 \tag{66}$$

where $W_{\alpha,\beta}(tx)$, the Whittaker functions are defined in (84). In particular, if $\alpha+\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, then (66) reduces to the classical Laplace transform, by virtue of the identity (85),

$$g(t) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx}\phi(x)dx, \quad t > 0 \tag{67}$$

Formally, (66) becomes an integral equation if $g(\cdot)$ is given and $\phi(\cdot)$ is to be found. The purpose of this section is to:

(i) Introduce a matrix variate version of Varma transform (66), that will be called M-Varma transform,
(ii) Show that, as in the univariate case, M-Varma transform becomes the matrix variate Laplace transform when $\alpha + \beta = \frac{1}{2}$.
(iii) Evaluate M-Varma transforms for some specific functions of matrix argument.
(iv) Extend a confluent hypergeometric function using the M-Varma transform operator.

Let us first recall the definition of the Laplace transform (see [30, p.252])

Definition 7.1.
If $\phi(\mathbf{X})$ is a function of the positive definite $p\times p$ matrix $\mathbf{X}$, the Laplace transform of $\phi(\mathbf{X})$ is defined to be:

$$L_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}) = g(\mathbf{Z}) = \int_{\mathbf{X}>0} etr(-\mathbf{XZ})\phi(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X}), \tag{68}$$

where $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{U} + i\mathbf{V}$ is a complex symmetric matrix, $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are real, and it is assumed that the integral is absolutely convergent in the right half-plane $Re(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{U} > \mathbf{U}_0$ for some positive definite $\mathbf{U}_0$.

As pointed out in Constantine [6], the Laplace transform satisfies the following convolution property:
If $g_1(\mathbf{Z})$ and $g_2(\mathbf{Z})$ are the Laplace transforms of $f_1(\mathbf{S})$ and $f_2(\mathbf{S})$, then $g_1(\mathbf{Z})g_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is the laplace transform of the function

$$f(\mathbf{R}) = \int_0^{\mathbf{R}} f_1(\mathbf{S}) f_2(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{S})(d\mathbf{S}), \quad for \;\; 0 < \mathbf{S} < \mathbf{R}. \tag{69}$$

An illustrative example of the use of Laplace transform is provided through the pdf of Wishart matrix:

Example 7.1.
Let $\mathbf{A} \overset{d}{=} W_p(n-1, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ *whose pdf is given by:*

$$f(\mathbf{A}) \;=\; \frac{|\mathbf{A}|^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{p+1}{2}}}{2^{\frac{p(n-1)}{2}}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{A})\right)$$

Using the fact that $\int_{\mathbf{X}>0} f(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X}) = 1$, *and putting* $\mathbf{Z} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ *show that the Laplace transform of the function* $\phi(\mathbf{X}) = |\mathbf{X}|^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{p+1}{2}}$ *is*

$$g(\mathbf{Z}) = \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)|\mathbf{Z}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}. \tag{70}$$

Inspired by the above example and the particular form of the pdf of the Kotz-Wishart matrix (36), we introduce now a generalization of (68) as follows:

Definition 7.2.
If $\phi(\mathbf{X})$ *is a function of the positive definite* $p \times p$ *matrix* $\mathbf{X}$, *the M-Varma transform of* $\phi(\mathbf{X})$ *is defined to be:*

$$V_\phi(\mathbf{Z}) = g(\mathbf{Z}) = \int_{\mathbf{X}>0} \left\{[tr\mathbf{ZX}]^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}} etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{ZX}\right) W_{\alpha,\beta}(tr\mathbf{ZX})\right\}\phi(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X}), \tag{71}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{2q-p}{4}$, $\beta = \frac{2q+p-2}{4}$, $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{U} + i\mathbf{V}$ *is a complex symmetric matrix,* $\mathbf{U}$ *and* $\mathbf{V}$ *are real, and it is assumed that the integral is absolutely convergent in the right half-plane* $Re(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{U} > \mathbf{U}_0$ *for some positive definite* $\mathbf{U}_0$.

(i) In the special case where $q = 1$, then α and β satisfy the condition: $\alpha + \beta = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, using the identity (85) shows that (71) becomes (68).

(ii) Also, if $p = 1$, then $\alpha = \beta = \frac{2q-1}{4}$, and $\frac{2q-3}{4} = \beta - \frac{1}{2}$. Consequently, the expression (71) reduces to

$$g(z) = \int_0^\infty \left[(zx)^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{zx}{2}} W_{\alpha,\beta}(zx)\right]\phi(x)dx, \qquad z > 0, \tag{72}$$

which is clearly identical to the expression (66).

To sum up, the M-Varma transform (71) appears to be a well-defined generalization of the original Varma transform and the classical matrix variate laplace transform (68) as well. Next, we will evaluate some examples of M-Varma transforms for some functions of matrix argument.

Lemma 7.1.
Let $\phi_1(\mathbf{X}) = |\mathbf{X}|^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{p+1}{2}}$, *where* $n \geq p+1$. *Then the M-Varma transform of* $\phi_1(\mathbf{X})$ *is given by:*

$$g_1(\mathbf{Z}) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{np}{2}\right)}|\mathbf{Z}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \tag{73}$$

Proof:
Using the pdf (36) with $\mathbf{Z} = \theta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ gives

$$\int_{\mathbf{X}>0}\left\{[tr\mathbf{ZX}]^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}}\, etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{ZX}\right)W_{\alpha,\beta}(tr\mathbf{ZX})\right\}\phi_1(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X}) =$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np-2}{2}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{np}{2}\right)}|\mathbf{Z}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

As it may be noted, putting $q = 2\theta = 1$ in the last expression enables us to get back to (70).

Lemma 7.2.
Let $\phi_2(\mathbf{X}) = |\mathbf{X}|^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{p+1}{2}}C_\kappa(\mathbf{X})$, *where* $n \geq p+1$ *and let* $\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_p)$ *be a partition associated with the zonal polynomial* $C_\kappa(\mathbf{A})$. *Then the M-Varma transform of* $\phi_2(\mathbf{X})$ *is given by:*

$$g_2(\mathbf{Z}) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np+2k-2}{2}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)_\kappa}{\Gamma\left(\frac{np+2k}{2}\right)}|\mathbf{Z}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}C_\kappa(\mathbf{Z}^{-1}) \tag{74}$$

Proof: This follows from (62), with $\mathbf{\Omega} = \mathbf{I}_p$ and $\mathbf{Z} = \theta\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$.
An immediate consequence of (74) leads us to a more general result: Assume that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_3(\mathbf{X}) &= |\mathbf{X}|^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{p+1}{2}}\cdot{}_mF_r(a_1,\ldots,a_m;b_1,\ldots,b_r;\mathbf{X})\\ &= |\mathbf{X}|^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{p+1}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{(a_1)_\kappa\cdots(a_m)_\kappa}{(b_1)_\kappa\cdots(b_r)_\kappa}\frac{C_\kappa(\mathbf{X})}{k!}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, the M-Varma transform of $\phi_3(\mathbf{X})$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}g_3(\mathbf{Z}) &= \int_{\mathbf{X}>0}\left\{[tr\mathbf{ZX}]^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}}\, etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{ZX}\right)W_{\alpha,\beta}(tr\mathbf{ZX})\right\}\phi_3(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X})\\ &= \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)|\mathbf{Z}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{(a_1)_\kappa\cdots(a_m)_\kappa\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)_\kappa}{(b_1)_\kappa\cdots(b_r)_\kappa}\omega_k\frac{C_\kappa(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1})}{k!},\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

where

$$\omega_k = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np+2k-2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{np+2k}{2}\right)}.$$

When $q = 2\theta = 1$, then $\omega_k = 1$, and therefore, (75) becomes

$$\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)|\mathbf{Z}|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\cdot{}_{m+1}F_r(a_1,\ldots,a_m,a;b_1,\ldots,b_r;\mathbf{Z}^{-1}),$$

where $a = \frac{n-1}{2}$. This last expression is similar to that given in [30, p.260], due to Herz [17]. In other words, the definition of the hypergeometric functions of matrix argument ${}_mF_r(\cdot)$ by means of the Laplace transform remains robust under the M-Varma transform.
The next example of the use of the M-Varma transform involves the generalized Laguerre polynomial which is defined as follows (see [30, p.282]):

Definition 7.3.
The generalized Laguerre polynomial $L_\kappa^\gamma(\mathbf{X})$ of an $p \times p$ symmetric matrix $\mathbf{X}$ corresponding to the partition κ of k is

$$L_\kappa^\gamma(\mathbf{X}) = (\gamma+t)_\kappa C_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_p)\sum_{s=0}^{k}\sum_{o}\binom{\kappa}{o}\frac{C_o(-\mathbf{X})}{(\gamma+t)_o C_o(\mathbf{I}_p)} \qquad \gamma > -1, \tag{76}$$

where the inner summation is over all partitions o of the integer s and $t = \frac{p+1}{2}$. Further, the generalized binomial coefficients $\binom{\kappa}{o}$ is defined through the following identity:

$$\frac{C_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_p+\mathbf{Y})}{C_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_p)} = \sum_{s=0}^{k}\sum_{o}\binom{\kappa}{o}\frac{C_o(\mathbf{Y})}{C_o(\mathbf{I}_p)} \tag{77}$$

The laplace transform of the function $\phi_4(\mathbf{X}) = |\mathbf{X}|^\gamma L_\kappa^\gamma(\mathbf{X})$ is provided in [30, p.282]. The following lemma gives a generalization of that result with the help of the M-Varma transform.

Lemma 7.3.
The M-Varma transform of $\phi_4(\mathbf{X}) = |\mathbf{X}|^\gamma L_\kappa^\gamma(\mathbf{X})$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} g_4(\mathbf{Z}) &= \int_{\mathbf{X}>0}\left\{[tr\mathbf{ZX}]^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}}\, etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{ZX}\right)W_{\alpha,\beta}(tr\mathbf{ZX})\right\}\phi_4(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X}) \\ &= (\gamma+t)_\kappa\Gamma_p(\gamma+t)|\mathbf{Z}|^{-\gamma-t}C_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_p)\sum_{s=0}^{k}\sum_{o}\binom{\kappa}{o}\omega_s\frac{C_o(-\mathbf{Z}^{-1})}{C_o(\mathbf{I}_p)} \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where $\mathbf{Z}$ is an $p\times p$ complex symmetric matrix with $Re(\mathbf{Z}) > 0$, $t = \frac{p+1}{2}$, $\gamma > -1$ and

$$\omega_s = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np+2s-2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{np+2k}{2}\right)}.$$

Proof:
Let $\varphi(\mathbf{Z},\mathbf{X}) = [tr\mathbf{ZX}]^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}}\, etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{ZX}\right)W_{\alpha,\beta}(tr\mathbf{ZX})$ be the kernel of the M-Varma transform. Then

$$\int_{\mathbf{X}>0}\varphi(\mathbf{Z},\mathbf{X})\phi_4(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X}) =$$

$$(79)\quad (\gamma+t)_\kappa C_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_p)\sum_{s=0}^{k}\sum_{o}\frac{\binom{\kappa}{o}(-1)^s}{(\gamma+t)_o C_o(\mathbf{I}_p)}\int_{\mathbf{X}>0}\varphi(\mathbf{Z},\mathbf{X})|\mathbf{X}|^{\gamma+t-t}C_o(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X})$$

The last integral is evaluated using (74):

$$(80)\quad \int_{\mathbf{X}>0}\varphi(\mathbf{Z},\mathbf{X})|\mathbf{X}|^{\gamma+t-t}C_o(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X})=\omega_s(\gamma+t)_o\Gamma_p(\gamma+t)|\mathbf{Z}|^{-\gamma-t}C_o(\mathbf{Z}^{-1}),$$

where $\omega_s=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2q+np+2s-2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{np+2k}{2}\right)}$. Therefore the desired result (78) is obtained by substituting (80) in (79).

In particular, if $q=2\theta=1$, then using (77) reduces (78) to the Laplace transform of the function $\phi_4(\mathbf{X})=|\mathbf{X}|^\gamma L_\kappa^\gamma(\mathbf{X})$, provided in [30, p.282].

We end up this section by extending the following confluent hypergeometric function of matrix argument, defined in [30, p.472]:

$$(81)\qquad \psi(a,c;\mathbf{X})=\frac{1}{\Gamma_p(a)}\int_{\mathbf{Y}>0}etr(-\mathbf{YX})|\mathbf{Y}|^{a-p}|I+\mathbf{Y}|^{c-a-p}(d\mathbf{Y}),$$

where $Re(\mathbf{X})>0$ and $Re(a)>\frac{p-1}{2}$. In fact, (81) may be viewed as the Laplace transform of the function

$$\phi(\mathbf{Y})=\frac{1}{\Gamma_p(a)}|\mathbf{Y}|^{a-p}|I+\mathbf{Y}|^{c-a-p}.$$

Since the M-Varma transform generalizes the laplace transform, the above confluent hypergeometric function may be naturally extended as follows:

(82)

$$\psi^q(a,c;\mathbf{X})=\int_{\mathbf{Y}>0}\left\{[tr\mathbf{XY}]^{\frac{2q+p-4}{4}}etr\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{XY}\right)W_{\alpha,\beta}(tr\mathbf{XY})\right\}\phi(\mathbf{Y})(d\mathbf{Y}).$$

Therefore, (82) represent the M-Varma transform for the function $\phi(\mathbf{Y})$. Once again, by virtue of the identity (85), (82) simplifies to (81) when $q=1$.

Let us now list some natural questions and comments regarding the M-Varma transform.

(i) The M-Varma transform has been introduced as a generalization of the matrix variate Laplace transform (68), which admits an inversion formula. So, a natural question is: Does an inversion formula for M-Varma transform exist in a closed form?

(ii) If so, does it simplify to the inversion Laplace transform for appropriately selected values of the parameters?

(iii) By analogy with the Laplace transform, does the M-Varma transform enjoy the convolution property (69)?

(iv) For the univariate case ($p=1$), inversion formulae for the Varma transform (66) have been derived under some conditions; see [36] where the author made use of the fractional integration theory, with the help of the Kober's operators.

(v) Hence, extending the Kober's operators to some functions of matrix argument might be a good starting point to derive the needed inversion formula.

8. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we have introduced a generalized Wishart distribution, namely, the Kotz-Wishart distribution, formed through the Kotz type distribution. Several results concerning both Kotz-Wishart matrix and Inverted Kotz-Wishart matrix are obtained. More precisely, exact distributions are established for all the statistics treated in our work. In particular, we extended Khatri's results [23] by expressing the distribution function of the smallest eigenvalue of the Kotz-Wishart matrix in closed form, and under the same assumptions made by the above quoted author.
Nowadays, the Wishart distributions found an impressive number of applications in diverse areas, including Physics, Economics, Engineering, Biology and, of course, Multivariate statistical analysis. Therefore, the proposed Kotz-Wishart distributions investigated in our present work could be a very good alternative to Wishart distributions, especially for the situations where the normality assumption of the observations is arguably rejectable. Further, the presence of the additional parameters q, θ and s in our findings provides more flexibility in comparison to the classical normal model. It is worth noting here that the use of exact distributions involving zonal polynomials is now less problematic in applied contexts, since Koev and Edelman (2006) [24] developped a very efficient algorithm for this issue.
Finally, given the key role played by the Laplace and inverse Laplace transforms in multivariate statistical theory, establishing an inversion formula for the M-Varma transform with the help of generalized Kober's operators would be a nice and promising bridge between Statistics and the theory of Integral equations. In the meantime, conjectures about the inversion formula for the M-Varma transform are, of course, welcome.

Appendix A: The Whittaker Functions

The Whittaker function $W_{\kappa,\mu}(z)$ is solution of the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2W}{dz^2} + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\kappa}{z} + \frac{\frac{1}{4}-\mu^2}{z^2}\right) W \;=\; 0. \tag{83}$$

This equation is called the Whittaker's equation; $W_{\kappa,\mu}(z)$ can be expressed as follows (see [13, p.1025])

$$W_{\kappa,\mu}(z) \;=\; \frac{z^{\kappa}e^{-\frac{z}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\mu-\kappa+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\mu-\kappa-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t} \left(1+\frac{t}{z}\right)^{\mu+\kappa-\frac{1}{2}} dt, \tag{84}$$

$$\left[Re(\mu-\kappa) > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad |\, arg(z)\,| < \pi\right].$$

In the special case where $\mu+\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, the above expression simplifies to:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\kappa,\mu}(z) &= \frac{z^{\kappa}e^{-\frac{z}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\mu-\kappa+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\int_0^{\infty} t^{\mu-\kappa+\frac{1}{2}-1}e^{-t}dt \\ &= z^{\kappa}e^{-\frac{z}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

There are many definitions and integral representations of the Whittaker functions $W_{\kappa,\mu}(z)$ in the literature, (see [3, p.505], [13, p.1024]). Here, we just collect some results for $W_{\kappa,\mu}(\cdot)$ which are used in our work. The following integrals involving Whittaker function are taken from [32, p.26], [13, p.823,827].

$$\int_0^{\infty}(b+x)^{\nu}e^{-ax}x^{y-1}dx = b^{\frac{y+\nu-1}{2}}a^{-\frac{y+\nu+1}{2}}e^{\frac{ab}{2}}\Gamma(y)\cdot W_{\alpha,\beta}(ab), \tag{86}$$

where $W_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot)$ denotes the Whittaker function, with

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha &=& \frac{\nu-y+1}{2} \\ \beta &=& \frac{\nu+y}{2} \end{array}\right. ,$$

and

$$\Gamma(y) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{y-1}e^{-x}dx\ .$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^{\rho-1}(c+x)^{-\sigma}e^{-\frac{x}{2}}W_{\alpha,\beta}(c+x)dx = \Gamma(\rho)c^{\rho}e^{\frac{c}{2}}G_{23}^{30}\left(c\left|\begin{array}{lll} 0, & 1-\alpha-\sigma & \\ -\gamma, & \frac{1}{2}+\mu-\sigma, & \frac{1}{2}-\mu-\sigma \end{array}\right.\right), \tag{87}$$

where $|arg(c)|<\pi$, $Re(\rho)>0$.

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x}x^{\mu-1}W_{\alpha,\beta}(x)dx = \frac{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}-\beta\right)\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}+\beta\right)}{\Gamma\left(\mu-\alpha+1\right)}, \tag{88}$$

where $Re(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\pm\beta)>0$.

References

[1] ADHIKARI, S.(2007). *Matrix variate distribution for probabilistic structural dynamics*. AIAA. J. vol.45, (7), 1748-1762.

[2] ANDERSON, T.W. (2003). *An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis, 3nd Edition*. Wiley, New York.

[3] ABRAMOWITZ, M. and STEGUN, I.A.(1965). *Handbook of mathematical functions, with formulas, graphs and mathematical tables*. Dover Publications, New York.

[4] ANDERSON, T.W. and FANG, K.T. (1982). *On the theory of multivariate elliptically contoured distributions and their applications*. Technical Report 54. Department of Statistics, Stanford University, California. Reprinted in Fang and Anderson (1990).

[5] BAI, J. and SHI, S. (2011). *Estimating high dimensional cavariance matrices and its applications*. Ann. Econ. Finance **12-2**, 199-215.
[6] CONSTANTINE, A.G. (1963). *Some non-cental distribution problems in multivariate analysis* Ann. Math. Statist., 34, 1270-1285.
[7] CARO-LOPERA, F.J., DÍAZ-GARCÍA, J.A. and GONZÁLEZ-FARÍAS, G. (2009). *Noncentral elliptical configuration density*. J. Multivariate Anal., DOI: 10.1016/j.jmva.2009.03.004.
[8] DÍAZ-GARCÍA, J.A. and GUTIÉRREZ-JÁIMEZ, R. (2011). *Some comments on zonal polynomials and their expected values with respect to elliptical distributions*. Acta Math. Sinica, English Series. DOI: 10.1007/s10255-011-0092-8.
[9] EFRON, B. and MORRIS, C. (1976). *Multivariate empirical Bayes and estimation of covariance matrices*. Ann. Statist. **4**, 22-32.
[10] FANG, K.T. and ANDERSON, T.W. (1990). *Statistical Inference in Elliptically Contoured and Related Distributions*. Allerton Press, New York.
[11] FANG, K.T., KOTZ, S. and NG, K.W. (1990). *Symmetric Multivariate and Related Distributions*. Chapman and Hall, London, New York.
[12] FANG, K.T. and ZHANG, Y.T. (1990). *Generalized multivariate analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
[13] GRADSHTEYN, I.S. and RYZHIK, I.M. (2007). *Tables of integrals, series, and products*. Seventh edition. Academic Press, New York.
[14] GUPTA, A.K. and NAGAR, D.K. (2000). *Matrix Variate Distributions*. Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall / CRC
[15] GUPTA, A.K. and VARGA, T. (1993). *Elliptically Contoured Models in Statistics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
[16] HAYAKAWA, T. (1966). *On the distribution of a quadratic form in a multivaria normal sample*. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., **18** (1), 191-201.
[17] HERZ, C.S. (1955). *Bessel functions of matrix argument*. Ann. Math. **61**, 474-523.
[18] JAMES, A.T. (1960). *The distribution of the latent roots of the covariance matrice*. Ann. Math. Statist., 31, 151-158.
[19] JAMES, A.T. (1961). *Zonal polynomials of the real positive definite symmetrix matrices*. Ann. of Math., **74**, 456-469.
[20] JAMES, A.T. (1964). *The distribution of the matrix variates and latent roots derived from normal samples*. Ann. Math. Statist., 35, 475-501.
[21] KELEJIAN, H.H. and PRUCHA, I.R. (1985). *Independent or uncorrelated disturbances in linear regression: An illustration of the difference*. Econom. Lett., **19**, 35-38.
[22] KHARE, K. and RAJARATNAM, B. (2011). *Wishart distributions for covariance graph models*. Ann. Statist., **39**, 1, 514-555.
[23] KHATRI, C.G. (1972). *On the exact finite series distribution of the smallest or the largest root of matrices in three situations*. J. Multivariate Anal. **2**, 201-207.
[24] KOEV, P. and EDELMAN, A. (2006). *The efficient evaluation of the hypergeometric function of a matrix argument*. Math. Comp. **75**, 833-846.
[25] KOTZ, S. (1975). *Multivariate distributions at a cross-road*. Statistical Dis-

tributions in Scientific Work, **1**, 247-270, Reidel, Dordrecht.
[26] KUBOKAWA, T. (2005). *A revisit to estimation of the precision matrix of the Wishart distribution*. J. Statist. Res., **39**, 91-114.
[27] KUBOKAWA, T. and SRIVASTAVA, M.S. (2008). *Estimation of the precision matrix of a singular Wishart distribution and its application in high-dimensional data*. J. Multivariate Anal. **99**, 1906-1928.
[28] LI, R. (1997). *The expected values of invariant polynomials with matrix argument of elliptical distributions*. Acta Math. Sinica, 13: 64-70.
[29] LINDSEY, J.K. (1999). *Multivariate elliptically contoured distributions for repeated measurements*. Biometrics, **55**, 1277-1280.
[30] MUIRHEAD, R.J. (2005). *Aspects of multivariate statistical theory*. Wiley, New York.
[31] NADARAJAH, S. (2003). *The Kotz-type distribution with applications*. Statistics, **37**(4), 341-358.
[32] OBERHETTINGER, F. (1974). *Tables of Mellin transforms*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
[33] SARR, A. and GUPTA, A.K. (2009). *Estimation of the precision matrix of multivariate Kotz type model*. J. Multivariate Anal., **100**, 742-752.
[34] SARR, A. and GUPTA, A.K. (2011). *Exponential scale mixture of matrix variate Cauchy distributions*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (4), 1483-1494.
[35] SCHOENBERG, I.J. (1938). *Metric Spaces and Completely Monotone Functions*. Ann. Math. **39**, 811-841.
[36] SRIVASTAVA, H.M. (1968). *Fractional integration and inversion formulae associated with the generalized Whittaker transform*. Pacific J. Math. vol.26, 375-377.
[37] VARMA, R.S. (1951). *On a generalization of Laplace integral*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A 20, 209-216.
[38] WISHART, J. (1928). *Generalized product moment distribution in samples from a normal multivariate population*. Biometrika, **20**, 32-52.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
SULTAN QABOOS UNIVERSITY
AL-KHOUD 123, MUSCAT, THE SULTANATE OF OMAN
e-mail: asarr7@gmail.com , asarr@squ.edu.om